

This consultation relates to 23 locations throughout the Borough. Please select which location your response relates to.	Do you support or object to the proposal	If you want, please provide your reasons for your support or objection.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	My house is on the corner of the two roads and is a complete blind spot getting off my drive due to cars parking here.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	It is becoming very dangerous to pass, only a matter of time before a major crash happens
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	Regarding Bull Lane parking. There is very little parking in the village and taking this useful area away without offering any alternative solutions for the 20 plus vehicles that will be displaced is ludicrous. Yes, maybe a few people did complain because they have to slow down when they drive through the village and show a bit of consideration. A better solution would be to put up warnings signs that it's a blind bend and to slow down. Maybe reduce the speed to 20 mph. If you put double yellows here all you're doing is giving the village more problems. We have already lost our bus service so everybody has to drive to leave the village and get to work. We have a lot of football games in the village and visiting teams need somewhere to park. They will end up using residents parking places around Hawkes road, which causes even more friction. You are going to put your yellow lines in and walk away. Doing this has zero impact on yourselves but massive impact on the village, the residents and those who need to park. It is completely unreasonable to take away this perfectly useful parking. Try to think of a better solution rather than just taking something away whilst giving nothing in return. You should be looking to resolve problems, not create them.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	I do support the changes as the parking at this area in Bull Lane can be quite hazardous re visibility to oncoming traffic, and the speed at the traffic flow. HOWEVER, an alternative parking site needs to be put in place before the yellow lines are installed. Parking in this area of Eccles is limited and at capacity, taking away further parking availability will have an impact on residents health and wellbeing with added stresses of where to leave their cars. The fact that proposals have been put in place to remove the carpark located by the allotments, is going to create even more of a parking nightmare. Residential parking is paramount, we should not be blighted even more.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	You will be taking essential parking in the village over a non issue. I have never experienced a problem passing the parked vehicles in a car or on foot. With the further building plans to take away even more of the street and off street parking currently available to residents, where do you propose the vehicles that currently have to utilise the parking on Bull Lane should go? Will alternative free parking be made available to residents on the date the parking on Bull Lane is removed?
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	The road is narrow and parking on a blind bend with the heavy traffic such as lorries passing through makes it very dangerous. Restrictions are a good idea or removal of some of the spare park area to create bay parking.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	Firstly, the proposals are inconsistent as a number of junctions are rightly having new yellow lines to prevent inappropriate parking, however the same logic is not being applied to the junction entrance to 232 and 232a Bull Lane, which is public highway and which regularly gets people parking inappropriately especially on match days, which often means larger cars struggle to get in and out. Secondly, it would be good to know where people that normally park on Bull Lane are going to go. Most of them live on Cork Street which is already packed full of cars. I can see things will get messy without alternative arrangements.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	Please do not double yellow on Bull lane. Parking is scarce in the village as it is - and it acts as a very effective traffic calming measure. Perhaps add a slow down sign - and maybe just double yellow a small part of that section to allow cars to pull in for oncoming traffic. Or allow people to park on the other side of the road on that bend?
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	While I understand that putting double yellow lines on the bend will make it safer, if you do that where will all those cars park? Parking is a huge problem in this village and it's only getting worse, especially will all the new homes being built. Can you not put another car park in? There are two little car parks near the allotments and between both of them there is a small green area, can this not be removed and make a much bigger car park? Could you put a speed camera on bull lane near the park? That would slow cars down a lot? The parking is only going to get worse and putting those lines in will make it safe however those cars will then need to find another place to park which will give those who live here a problem. There is another green area opposite the park can this be turned into a car park? It would help especially on a Sunday when football is playing, which is another issue! Please help our village, if you can find the space to build lots of home around our area why can't you find the space to give us more parking? Thanks you, sorry I hate moaning.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Parking on this corner is dangerous
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There isn't enough parking in the village at the minute. If you put double yellow lines down a large percentage of it you are losing 30-40 spaces. Where are those cars meant to go? The recreation ground is often used for football and that can mean an extra 50 cars, these have to go somewhere, where are they going to go? Are you going to provide additional parking spaces yes/no? Very concerned by this. I am a resident and the problem isn't the parking it's the stupid drivers
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	I will have nowhere to park if this happens
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There is not enough parking in the village at night, come and have a look before you paint your lines
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	Yellow lines not needed along the whole area as proposed. A yellow line small area inbetween all the parked cars so there is enough space to pull in if a car is coming or take out some of the grass verge for a little layby for cars to pull into if oncoming cars coming round the bend to save cars reversing back round the bend or two cars unsafely trying to pass each other at same time.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Very dangerous pulling out of Greenfield close. Very poor visibility both ways with parked cars and people do not stick to 30mph on bull Lane. Urgent need for double yellow lines on corner on bull Lane. Absolutely no visibility due to parked cars. Children often run into the road. Major accident waiting to happen without yellow lines
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	

Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	The parking on bull lane where the proposed double yellow lines are, is dangerous on the bend as you cannot see to pull out to go round the park cars. There have been numerous near misses, due to this fact.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	The vehicles only park on Bull Lane because Eccles is full up! Is there a proposed additional parking? I agree vehicles parked on this stretch of road is not ideal but where else can they go?
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	I cannot agree as I personally don't think it is warranted and it will cause more problems than it solves cars will speed up and there will be a shortage of parking and they will park on corners further on where there are children
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There is no other car park available in the area. I've got already a double yellow in front of my house.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	No other car park available ...
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	No other spaces available.
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	I support yellow lines, it is very difficult to see around the bend, cars go too fast trying to avoid getting stuck midway, so increase speed to try and get through quickly. I hear screeches of vehicles often where drivers are having to stop quick because their vision is impaired. In an ideal world it would be best to put double yellow lines right along, however parking in the village is difficult already. Could double yellows be put at the worst part and a yellow box somewhere which would at least give a space for drivers to pull in and let cars through? The problem is made worse because residents park in all the spaces, then there are no passing places for drivers. Alternatively, could just a small part of the green opposite be taken away to make the road wider at that point, or a passing space?
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Aylesford (Eccles), Bull Lane & Greenfield Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There is limited parking in the village as it is. More double yellow lines will only increase the frustration residents already have
Aylesford (Walderslade) Woodbury Road Road. New double yellow lines..	I support the proposed changes	Even yellow lines on one side of the road would prevent people from parking either side (one up on the pavement) and therefore creating limited space to get through for cars and pedestrians.
Aylesford (Walderslade) Woodbury Road Road. New double yellow lines..	I support the proposed changes	Removing obstructive parking making it safer for pedestrians and for householders driving out of their driveways.
Aylesford (Walderslade) Woodbury Road Road. New double yellow lines..	I object to the proposed changes	I am NOT in favour of the proposal for changes to on-street parking arrangements for woodbury road. Please find comments below As a stay at home mum, I am at home very often and this section of road is very rarely used. Where are visitors of residents supposed to park if you keep removing the areas on the road? It is not as if we are a town centre with car parks etc. Woodbury Road already has minimal 'on road' parking and removing some would make it very difficult for anyone visiting residents, with very little benefit as the road is not an issue. There must be 40-50 houses after the round about on Woodbury Road and leaving them with 5-6 spaces between them for visitors is likely to cause significant issues.
Aylesford (Walderslade) Woodbury Road Road. New double yellow lines..	I object to the proposed changes	This proposal seems so unnecessary. I've lived at number [redacted] for over 2 years, and the section of road from the roundabout onwards, where the proposed yellow lines would be, is probably the quietest part of the whole of woodbury road for parking. Most days and evenings there is no one parked there (It is directly outside our house). From the start of woodbury (entrance from tunbury avenue) up to the roundabout is very busy, but the planned area beyond the roundabout is rarely used. The strip of road that is being proposed is almost all drop curbs from houses driveways apart from one section a few metres in length, big enough for one car. This section is also sometimes used by people who then walk their children through to tunbury school. Again I've seen no issue/obstruction with these cars parked, and people need to park somewhere to take their children to school. In fact I would think that by removing some of the very few on street parking areas from the bottom end of woodbury will only leave people the start of the road to park, which is generally the problem part already. Taking away spaces will only lead to more people trying to park in the few remaining areas which will surely increase the chance of obstructing the road rather than decrease it. Couriers, food delivery drivers and People visiting houses have to park somewhere and bottle necking them in to one area seems a very bizarre way limiting the chance in obstruction. Since receiving this letter we have closely watched this area, and it is rarely used. In the daytime a few visitors to residents have been there, but the road is perfectly accessible. Other than that it is mainly food delivery vans, which park for roughly 10mins each and park across the driveway of the houses they are delivering to if required, and so causing no obstruction. To me it feels like a total waste of tax payers money to put yellow lines on this small section of road which seems to be causing no issues.
Aylesford (Walderslade) Woodbury Road Road. New double yellow lines..	I object to the proposed changes	1. I have rarely observed obstructive parking in this particular section. Very occasionally a car will park with wheels on the pavement, but this is not unique to this zone. 2. Applying double yellows here will reduce available parking. Most likely push parking up the road, which in my opinion already has far more obstructive parking issues. 3. Including the Parish Council's vote, then the previous consultation was against the proposal. What was the point of this consultation if you are just going to proceed anyway? 4. Rather than limiting the available parking for the majority of sensible road users based on the acts of the minority, could alternative means be used to educate those which do park obstructively. Ultimately these road users will still park obstructively, just further up the road where there are no lines. Could fines be considered for those which park with wheels on the pavement? I know this type of system is in place in Pepperhill Gravesend, and has stopped motorist parking on pavements.
Aylesford, Sycamore Drive. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Support no parking on bends & near driveways & it might mean the road actually gets swept outside of property when roads weeper comes round But have concerns over parking for Doctors surgery opposite as have no objections for people parking outside short term when visiting surgery as parking is limited over there & yellow lines on road outside surgery already
Aylesford, Sycamore Drive. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Yellow lines missing from plan 20/20a double drive.
Aylesford, Sycamore Drive. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Because it restricts us from accessing and exiting our driveway @ [redacted] sycamore drive which is not marked on the paper drawings we received on 14/7/22 To confirm. The drawings we received on 14/7/22 do not have a yellow line over the driveway of 20 Sycamore drive
Aylesford, Sycamore Drive. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Numbers 20/22 as show
Aylesford, Sycamore Drive. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	NOS 20/22 driveways discharge onto Sycamore Drive at the same point. However no yellow lines are shown on plan supplied

East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Lavender Close, Lavender Road and Carnation Crescent . New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	As it stands it's very difficult for deliveries to make it down Lavender Close due to parking restricting the turning area at the junction with Lavender Road and Carnation Crescent. Frequently parking will result in the pavement being severely restricted to foot traffic, especial pushchairs, wheelchairs and mobility scooters. Concerns: Enforcement, people still obstructing pavement in the area of 3-5 Lavender Close. Parking moving down into the turning area at the bottom of Lavender Close, currently only a few cars use this.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Lavender Close, Lavender Road and Carnation Crescent . New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Parking on Lavender Close and the junction of Carnation Crescent with Lavender Road is ridiculous. I would however like to point out that the proposed double yellow lines outside number 16 Lavender Close would not be able to be implemented as the occupier of number 16 has installed a drop kerb from the bend outside number 15 to the edge of the property outside number 16
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Lavender Close, Lavender Road and Carnation Crescent . New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	The van that parks at the end of Lavender Road by the junction makes it in safe to navigate the junction.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Lavender Close, Lavender Road and Carnation Crescent . New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	I am NOT in favour of the Borough Councils proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lavender Close, Lavender Road and Carnation Crescent shown on plan DD/591/10. The proposal is quite frankly ridiculous and will do nothing to improve anything for the area. The proposed line at the bottom of lavender close near number 16 is also ridiculous. Some cars do park here but they are tucked up out of the way causing no obstruction to anyone with plenty of room to manoeuvre at the bottom of the road. They park here because there is no alternative as many houses have no drive and number 16 has a drive anyway so no one parks in front of there where you propose the line to extend to. If you put all these lines in where do these cars then park? How about instead of restricting parking even further in an already crowded area, you look to provide alternatives? There is dead land between number 16 lavender close and number 1 carnation crescent that could be used to park about 4 cars if converted. There is a similar bit of dead land just off the corner of carnation crescent near number 64 that could do the same. Also many houses in the roads are housing association owned, how about funding is released to give these houses driveways to take further cars off the road? It seems the solution to parking is to always make the problem worse and just move it to surrounding roads, how about exploring the alternatives mentioned to LESSEN the cars on the road as we all want to park our cars close to our homes for safety reasons. This proposal is a complete waste of time and money that will only make the situation worse and probably result in cars parking on the pavement on both sides rather than the one side currently. Also there has never in my knowledge since living here been an accident caused by the current parking solutions in the area, if there is no safety concerns caused by the current solution then why is there any need to change it. In summary: 1) I completely object to this proposal 2) It won't improve the problem it will make it worse by further limiting parking in the area forcing it to other also congested roads or blocking even more pavements. 3) There are alternative options such as using dead land (which is either council or housing owned both in lavender close and carnation crescent) to create parking and funding driveways for residents especially those in housing association properties. 4) There are no accidents caused by the current parking solution so why propose a needless change anyway, who is it to benefit and why?
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I object to the proposed changes	There is enough parking in middle mill road. I park my car in the road as I have always have. I really don't want to have to apply and pay for a permit to the council. We have a cost of living crisis and its madness to pay to park in my road is really not fair. Sometimes if it's busy people might have to park further down the road but that's not the norm here.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I object to the proposed changes	Although I live in the proposed area, I have off street parking for 3 vehicles. I do not want to incur charges for a proposal that has no impact on my dwelling. Besides it will just move the problem 50 yards down the road.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I object to the proposed changes	Pointless exercise, the house owners will have more problems with permits and parking then ever before. I rather the money we re spent on other necessary things.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I support the proposed changes	
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I support the proposed changes	Dial a Flight workers use middle mill road for parking when they have a big car park. The 5 flats built on the site of the rising sun pub have zero parking so they will need to park somewhere too.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I object to the proposed changes	As a regular visitor to East Malling to see my parents there is already limited parking in Mill Street which will be exacerbated by the introduction of these new restrictions. I cannot see why there is a need to restrict these parking areas and the knock on effects this will cause to visitors has not been thought through by the Council. If these proposals are introduced then additional free parking areas will need to be provided to compensate for the loss of these spaces.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I object to the proposed changes	We struggle to park as it is, even more so as it's got increasingly busier and with dial-a-flight and more houses that's gone up we find it hard being retired
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I object to the proposed changes	Middle Mill Road: I would like to raise my objections to the proposed parking bays on Middle Mill Road. I am writing on behalf of The Lotus Group who own Millbrook House. I believe that allowing only resident permit holders to park in Middle Mill Road will force non resident car owners to park in our car park which will cause serious issues. I note that residents only will be permitted to use the bays between 8am - 6pm Monday - Friday when most residents would be at work. Currently our staff also use Middle Mill Road to park, are we going to be entitled to parking permits? I am also concerned about the increase in parking from the recently converted Rising Sun Public House. We have been here over 20 years and are very sympathetic to our neighbours and we have a strict policy in place to ensure non of our staff park on Mill Street. I object to this proposal. Mill Street : I totally endorse the proposal for bays on Mill Street and to ensure the junction between Middle Road and Mill Street should be kept clear.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), Mill Street & Middle Mill Road. New permit parking bays.	I support the proposed changes	It's needed but I feel that residents of mill street should be priority or only residents allowed to have permits otherwise it will defeat the object.
East Malling & Larkfield (East Malling), A20 London Road & Walnut Tree Court. Replace single yellow lines with double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
East Malling & Larkfield (Larkfield), Lunsford Lane (near Leybourne Lakes). New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	People create a H&S issue here blocking access for emergency vehicles

East Malling & Larkfield (Larkfield), Maple Close & Oak Drive. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Parked vehicle restrict access to our driveway. It would also make the turning in/out of maple close safer
Leybourne, The Croft. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	I live at one of the affected properties whereby on numerous occasions we have struggled to access or leave property due to parking but also delivery lorries to our property. The bin truck also struggles to turn when cars are parked at all areas of the affected area
Leybourne, The Croft. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	<p>Numerous times vehicles are parked in the area of the new proposed double yellow lines giving restricted access of the entrance to 15, 16, 17 & 18 The Croft.</p> <p>Residents of 19 The Croft also claimed the space opposite their drive was in fact their "on-road" parking space despite giving restricted access to 15, 16, 17 & 18 The Croft, without any regard for other people.</p> <p>Selfish parking on the entrance corner of the new double yellow proposal area has also been a historic and regular problem. This spans many years with vehicles parked sticking out into the road, on occasion vehicles have completely blocked the access 15, 16, 17 & 18 by parking in the centre of the entrance road as they thought it was a dead end.</p> <p>Police have attended on several occasions coupled with heated exchanges with the offending vehicle owners when obstructive parking has occurred.</p> <p>For information vehicles still regularly park on the end of the long sweeping existing double yellow lines sited on the corner of Bridgewater Place, these are on the opposite side of the road to entrance of The Croft.</p> <p>I fully support the implementation of long overdue double yellow lines as per the proposal.</p>
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>I object to the extension of double yellow lines on the east end of Ashburnham road at the junction with Shipbourne road. There is no need to extend these. Junction visibility is already sufficient and limited by walls alongside shipbourne road, not parked cars. Additionally the area is not regularly used for 3 point turns.</p> <p>Enacting this change will remove available parking space for residents of ashburnham road. This is already a significant problem with few alternative places to park and no access to nearby permit restricted zones. Making these changes will make a bad situation worse and put pressure additional parking pressure on surrounding roads e.g manor grove and shipbourne road.</p>
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	We already have problems with a shortage of parking in the road. To park on Shipbourne Road invariably means your wing mirrors are damaged and other parking in the area is for permit holders or limited time parking.
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Bloody good idea, hopefully will allow residents spaces in the day.
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	People do not always park considerably in Ashburnham Road and get very close to our gates when turning. [REDACTED] several instances recently with tradesmen knocking down posts [REDACTED] driveway so I am in full support of these proposed changes.
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	The parking is already a huge challenge for people living in the street. The issues being raised have not been witnessed by us. We live opposite one set of the proposed lines and cannot support the issues claimed for their need. Alternative parking set up is required to allow all residence to park in the road, limiting houses to max 2 cars, or set parking spaces, would be a start and help with the parking issues in the road.
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	Entrance to the road I agree but end of the road I don't agree I don't think it's needed, what's needed is permit parking so the people with multiple cars per household and the people that park in the road and walk to work would stop parking here.
Tonbridge, Ashburnham Road. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There is no problem to delivery people or bin collection lorry to reverse into Ashburnham Road the Double Yellow Lines were extended on the side of One Stop Shop and they are long enough on opposite side. There is also not necessary to do the yellow lines at the end of cul-de-sac. That request is done by the neighbours with their own drives not using the road to park and don't understand the other people needs rather happy to see potential parking space empty or prohibited.
Tonbridge, Chiltern Way & Cheviot Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>The situation around the bends located at The Chiltern Way/Cheviot Close junction is ok at this moment in time and has been, since the last consultation in October 2015. In my view parking restrictions of this magnitude are not warranted, desirable or justifiable at this time. The problem is really only with the corner on Chiltern Way outside number 5 on the plan. The other proposed yellow lines are not needed and are a considerable overkill and excessive proposal for this situation.</p> <p>You will be aware that the Highway Code stated the following in Rule 242- you MUST NOT leave your vehicle or trailer in a dangerous position or where it causes any unnecessary obstruction of the road. Crucially in this case, Rule 243 states- DO NOT stop or park a) opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction and b) on a bend.</p> <p>Rule 244 states- YOU MUST NOT park partially or wholly on the pavement in London, and should not do so elsewhere unless signs permit it.</p> <p>I trust that should any future problems be encountered on the bends or pavements then these infractions could be easily dealt with by simple application of the Highway Code rules and the consequent imposition of fines, penalty points etc for the perpetrator.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
Tonbridge, Chiltern Way & Cheviot Close. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>The only obstructive parking takes place close to the bend as you approach Chiltern Way from Yardley Park Road, opposite house No 5, as you can't see the traffic coming round the bend in Chiltern Way in the opposite direction; the driver is therefore forced into the middle of the road to avoid this obstructive parking close to the bend as they do not have clear vision of traffic coming in the opposite direction, around that corner.</p> <p>The proposed double yellow lines outside No 10 Chiltern Way and that bend, serves no purpose whatsoever as there is very little traffic travelling down Chiltern Way in an Easterly direction and into Cheviot Close, even if traffic continued round the bend and along Chiltern Way towards Yardley Park, the road is so much wider at this bend that the occasional car parked there doesn't reduce any visibility whatsoever or cause any obstruction. We have lived here for appx 20 years and in that time had very few cars parked outside our house, so we don't understand what the issue is with this bend, and believe that double yellow lines amount to over-kill in this area.</p> <p>We have also rarely seen cars parked outside No 2 Cheviot Close and the bend coming into Chiltern Way from Cheviot Close, as once again the road is very wide at that point and these bends are gentle. It is the sharper bend opposite No 5 that can cause some problems as commuters park along Chiltern Way in that area daily.</p> <p>Finally, we do hope that someone from the council is actually coming out and driving around our roads to really 'see' how traffic operates in this area, in general how quiet it is, and where the current 'pinch point' of obstructive parking actually lies.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
Tonbridge, Chiltern Way & Cheviot Close. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Inconsiderate parking has blighted this junction and blind bend for too long. The proposed restrictions are a proportionate and sensible response to ensure the safety of this area.

Tonbridge, Dodd Road & Romney Way. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	Unlike majority who live here, we do not have a driveway. These double yellow lines are too close to our home (number 6) and would affect parking outside our property. We are a 2 vehicle household. Please take this into consideration.
Tonbridge, Dodd Road & Romney Way. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There is no reoccurring problems with this junction, and no accidents with vehicles or pedestrians alike reported in which would indicate this junction and current parking conditions are an issue. Seems irrelevant and a waste of money to do something that is not needed.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There isn't enough parking as it is, multiple times a week we can't park outside our house and we have had to put off purchasing a second car for this reason. Have spoken to the bin men and they have said the council refuses to use smaller bin lorry's down our road for cost reasons.you should be indenting the parking instead as there is a grass area outside the houses opposite us, a lot better solution as we then don't loose parking.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Too many non residents parking in the road including company vans making residents parking impossible at times
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	There are not enough parking spaces so reducing the bay outside No.107 by 2.5m will further restrict available parking. Two average size cars can fit in the bay without restricting access. I suggest you keep the bay as it is, but add yellow lines both behind and in front of the bay, across both access areas for 107 and 109. If a car's wheels are over the white lines and on the double-yellow lines, they should be fined to discourage use of the bay by cars that do not fit within the space
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	I agree with further time restrictions on resident bays but please do not remove parking spaces, it is already nigh on impossible to park weekdays. Can we instead implement permanent resident only parking in areas? I note that Woodside Road refuse is collected using a smaller vehicle so suggest this is replicated rather than further decreasing parking availability on St Mary's Road.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	There has been an increase in drivers parking at lunchtime in the northern end of St Mary's Road and then not leaving until late in the evening, with the consequence that residents are often unable to park close to their residence during the afternoon and evening and therefore having an impact on parking in other local streets. By the end of the evening there are often a number of parking places available in the northern end of St Mary's Road, but too late to have any beneficial impact for the residents.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	There are a number of vehicles that after the morning restrictions finish use the road to park then either go to the station or into town . This has a knock on affect through adjoining roads making parking in the afternoons difficult or even impossible I did support an all day residents only period but was informed by local councilors that this would be difficult to police, although I'm at a loss to understand why. I would have thought it would be easier as any inspections could be more flexible
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Happy for the afternoon hour to be included in the parking restrictons but wonder if the proposed time should be a little later say 2:30pm to 3:30pm. This will allow lunch guests time to visit residents and deter afternoon/evening shift workers parking after 2pm. They block residents returning home after work and the shift workers return to their cars late in the evening/early morning disturbing residents and we wake in the morning to find the road empty.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	Commuters are a continued issue and also people who do not wish to pay for town centre parking.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	I AM THE LANDLORD OF THIS PROPERTY AND I ALREADY CANNOT PARK OUTSIDE MY PROPERTY BETWEEN 9.30AM-10.30AM. I ALSO CANNOT GET A PARKING PERMIT AS I AM NOT RESIDENT THERE. TRADESPEOPLE ALREADY HAVE TO MOVE THEIR VEHICLES FOR THAT HOUR AND IT WILL BE A MAJOR INCONVENIENCE IF THERE IS AN ADDITIONAL HOURS RESTRICTION.
Tonbridge, St Marys Road. Changes to parking bay times (additional 1 hour restriction in afternoons) and new double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	I OBJECT TO ALL THE PROPOSED CHANGES Putting 1hr further parking restrictions to the lower end of St Marys Road and not the top will just push the problem to the top end of the road. If the additional 1hr is to be approved, this should be the complete length of the road to deter parking by non-residents the whole length of the road. Removal of the parking spaces and additional of yellow lines is unnecessary. The refuse trucks can fit through the length of the road. I live on the "bend" in question (I have lived here for over 20 years), and have seen the refuse collectors taking video of the vehicle "struggling" to get round the "bend". When the video is turned off, the vehicle continues without issue. Taking 3 spaces (or any space) away when there is limited resident permit parking vs once a week (2mins) refuse vehicle coming up the road, in my opinion is preposterous. I have photographic evidence (taken from the when TMBC letter was received about the proposal) that all spaces are used at night; and during the two collections made from the same time, no vehicles were parked on the "bend" that prevented the refuse vehicle from continuing up the road. It is a shame that it took 12 days for the letter to arrive by post, otherwise there would have been more opportunity to gather more evidence. During the day (morning) most vehicles leave - giving the refuse vehicles very little reason for concern. To remove the bays which are used every day, for the sake of 2mins, once a week it takes the driver to come up the road is unwarranted. A previous planning application was submitted to remove one bay (same location) to allow fire engines through (this was part of a wider housing application). The response from the fire service was there was no need to remove the bay as they had no trouble getting fire engines up the entire length of the road. I would suggest, if fire engines can drive through safely, then so can the refuse vehicles; and therefore their removal is unnecessary.
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I support the prosped changes	The proposals make absolute sense from a safety point of view but we are concerned that commuters using The Haydens as a free car park will simply move elsewhere in The Haydens. This could be just as dangerous especially in those areas, such as outside our own property, where there is no pavement. Why can't The Haydens have a Residents only scheme such as in Yardley Park Road. Please do not discriminate against The Haydens!
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I support the prosped changes	Non residents are parking there all day and causing blockages especially for lorries.

Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	<p>I SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL BUT DO NOT FEEL IT GOES FAR ENOUGH.</p> <p>TO PUT LINES ON ONE SIDE OF THE HAYDENS ONLY MOVES THE PROBLEM FROM ONE SIDE OF THE ROAD TO THE OTHER.</p> <p>IT NEEDS TO BE ON BOTH SIDES.</p> <p>THE HAYDENS ARE TOO NARROW FOR PARKING ON ONE SIDE, WE ALREADY HAVE A PROBLEM WHEN COMMUNITY VEHICLES, REFUSE, AMBULANCES ETC WANT TO GET THROUGH BUT HAVE DIFFICULTY DUE TO ON STREET PARKING.</p>
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	<p>The Haydens is a narrow estate access road and not as wide as a usual residential street. If one car parks it makes that part of the road single track and also impedes visibility given that the road curves - this causes problems particularly when cars are parked all day. My only concern with the proposal for double yellow lines is that it may result in more parking deeper into the estate in which case we will be needing either resident permits or limited hours parking to prevent further obstructions.</p>
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>The proposal to inflict parking restrictions in parts of The Haydens, as currently planned, I firmly believe are unnecessary.</p> <p>To say there is 'danger' or 'obstruction' in the parking anywhere on this estate of quiet roads is simply nonsense and I believe that those residents who have lobbied for the double yellow lines are simply annoyed by commuters that park outside or near their houses. I have NEVER witnessed danger or obstruction.</p> <p>In any event, the alleged 'problem' is only a daytime, weekday issue and therefore DOUBLE YELLOW lines covering all times is simply absurd and will cause huge inconvenience to residents when having visitors. A visit to the vicinity will support my viewpoint.</p> <p>Imposing the said restrictions would simply cause the commuters to park their vehicles further towards the even numbered houses, so shifting the 'problem' and the so-called 'danger' elsewhere on the estate.</p> <p>Therefore I am asking you to cast a sensible eye on this proposal by looking closely at it and I offer the following suggestions as alternatives:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reject the proposal and impose NO new restrictions; 2. Change the proposal to make the restrictions only during the working day and install SINGLE yellow lines in ALL of the roads on The Haydens so that the problem is not simply moved further along.
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>Although people do use The Haydens for parking to commute or shop, I have not seen any obstruction or danger in them doing so. I think some residents are simply miffed at cars parking outside their homes, which can be an issue with some. The proposal for double yellow lines would cause the car parking to be pushed further into The Haydens roads, thereby simply moving the same issues further along.</p>
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>I do not see that this proposal is necessary as few vehicles park in the new yellow lines area during the day and almost never at weekends. It would appear to be an issue with commuter parking. I have never seen any vehicles parked on the left hand bend from the Hayden Mews junction to just past number 9 The Haydens - no double parking. If you proceed with this proposal it will only move the parking issue to another part of The Haydens, specifically of concern would be the road area from Yardley Park Road to where the new yellow lines are planned. This would make driving into the Haydens more difficult and affect the properties in this area.</p> <p>One suggestion could be to introduce timed parking restrictions/permits in the proposed area.</p>
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	<p>Today a gardener has parked his large vehicle on the bend in front of no.8, it is simply very dangerous and this often happens.</p>
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, The Haydens. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>Is it necessary to have double yellow lines on both sides of the road as indicated on the plan? There is already very limited parking available to visitors to Haydens Mews. Was this notice posted up in Haydens Mews to view?</p>
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	<p>We have to encourage active travel in the borough. This location is EXTREMELY dangerous for cyclists:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * parking gravely limits sightlines * this road is heavily used by HGVs and other wide vehicles * motor traffic heading northwest is forced over the white line * traffic heading southeast is not expecting oncoming traffic in the middle of the road so does not hug the kerb * the hill severely slows cycle speeds heading northwest meaning cyclists are at risk for longer periods * the road is not wide enough for safe clearance for parked cars, a cyclist and two motor vehicles <p>This road is an accident waiting to happen. I strongly support the ban on parking here.</p>
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	<p>I have always thought this parking was very dangerous and support the proposed changes.</p>
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I object to the proposed changes	<p>Dangerous to oncoming traffic</p>
Tonbridge, Woodgate Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	
Wrotham, Pilgrims Way. New double yellow lines.	I support the proposed changes	